UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/539,151	06/16/2005	Ulrich Berens	VT/95-22814/A/PCT	2741	
<sup>324</sup> JoAnn Villamiz	7590 04/30/200 car	9	EXAMINER		
Ciba Corporation 540 White Plair	on/Patent Department	NOLAN, JASON MICHAEL			
P.O. Box 2005	is Koau	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Tarrytown, NY	10591	1626			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/30/2009	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

andrea.dececchis@ciba.com deborah.pinori@ciba.com sonny.nkansa@basf.com

				1		
Office Action Comments		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		10/53	9,151	BERENS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary			iner	Art Unit		
			N NOLAN	1626		
The M. Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communi	cation appears or	the cover sheet with the	e correspondence ad	ddress	
A SHORTENI WHICHEVER - Extensions of tin after SIX (6) MO - If NO period for - Failure to reply v Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IN THE	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In runication. tutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATION OF EVENT, HOWEVER, MAY A REPLY BE THE COMMUNICATION OF THE COMMUNICATION O	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this on NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status						
2a) ☐ This ac 3) ☐ Since th	nsive to communication(s) file tion is <b>FINAL</b> . 2 his application is in condition in accordance with the practic	b)⊠ This action for allowance exc	is non-final. ept for formal matters, p		e merits is	
Disposition of C	laims					
4a) Of the special state of t	ne above claim(s) is/ar ne above claim(s) is/ar ne above claim(s) is/ar ne above claim(s) is/ar ne above claim(s) is/are ne above claim(s) is/are allow 1	re withdrawn from ved. re objected to. tion and/or election e Examiner. a) accepted of the correction is re	r b)  objected to by the objected if the drawing(s) is quired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C	• /	
<i>,</i> —	•	by the Examiner	. Note the attached Offi	ce Action of form F	10-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) 🔲 Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (P closure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ail Date	ГО-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is responsive to Applicant's Amendment After-Final, filed 04/03/09. As presented, Claims 18, 19, 21, 22, 24, 31-36, 41, & 42 are pending. Claims 1-17, 20, 23, 25-30, 37-40, & 43 are cancelled. Previously, in the Office Action, mailed 02/04/2009, Claims 19, 21, 22, 24, & 31-35 were deemed allowable subject matter. Due to the difficulties arising from a translation of a foreign application, amendments to the claims are necessary for allowance. As such, the allowability of said claims and the finality of that office action are withdrawn.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 18 & 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In Claim 18, the phrase "of pharmaceuticals or" should be deleted because it renders the claim indefinite. The claim is drawn a method for the synthesis of a compound of formula (II) via the reaction between formula (III) and formula (V), and the preamble should reflect this. A method for manufacturing pharmaceutical compositions represents a different searchable class, a distinct inventive concept, and implies further steps; and, thus, should be in a separate claim.

Further, the phrase at the end of the claim, "and further comprising reducing the indolone moiety in compound of the formula II in the presence of a complex hydride" is contradictive to the preamble, which is drawn to making formula II. Claim 19 is directed to the reduction of formula II to produce formula (XII), which appears to be what the phrase at the end of Claim 18 is conveying. As such, there are two solutions: either Claim 18 can be amended to include the scope of Claim 19, and amended to have the preamble reflect a process for the synthesis of formula (XII); or, alternatively, the phrase at the end of Claim 18, identified supra, should be deleted and the preamble of Claim 19 should be amended with language, for example, "The method according to Claim 18, further comprising . . ."

Page 3

### Claim Objections

Claim 24 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. In this case, an amendment would be proper.

Claim 24 is drawn to a method according to Claim 22 wherein either formula (XIV) or formula (XII) is subsequently reacted. Claim 24 is dependent on Claim 22, which is dependent on Claim 21, which is dependent on Claim 18. Formula (XII) does not arise in Claim 18, but in Claim 19. For this reason, Claim 24 lacks proper dependency for formula (XII).

Art Unit: 1626

Claims 18, 19, 21-22, 24, 31, & 33-35 are objected to because of the following informalities: Said claims lack proper preambles and consistency. Specifically, Claims 18, 19, 21, & 24 are drawn to a "method" and Claims 22, 31, & 33-35 are drawn to a "process." To be consistent, all claims should be identified as either a method or a process. Further, the independent claims should recite, for example, "A method" and dependant claims should recite "The method according to . . ." Appropriate correction is required.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 32, 36, 41, & 42, drawn to compounds, are allowed.

### Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason M. Nolan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4356 and e-mail is <a href="mailto:Jason.Nolan@uspto.gov">Jason.Nolan@uspto.gov</a>. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (9:00 - 5:30PM). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The USPTO fax number for applications is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system, (either Private PAIR or Public PAIR). Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

Application/Control Number: 10/539,151 Page 5

Art Unit: 1626

about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. For questions on Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197.

/Jason M. Nolan/

Examiner, Art Unit 1626

/Rebecca L Anderson/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626